

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Re Application of:

Skidmore, *et al.*

Serial No.: 10/632,491

Filed: July 31, 2003

Confirmation No.: 4397

Group Art Unit: 1732

Examiner: Daniels, Matthew J.

Docket No. 190514-1020

For: **Masonry Unit Manufacturing Method**

Dear Sir:

We filed an Appeal Brief on 3/19/07 using EFS. The conclusion section of the Appeal Brief indicated that the USPTO was to charge the attorney's deposit account for \$500. In our attempt to file using the deposit account 20-0778, the system prohibited us from entering information pertaining to the deposit account. We contacted EFS customer service, who suggested that we file a misc. letter explaining our situation, and our intent to file using a credit card at a charge of \$250. We are presenting this letter to resolve any ambiguity in the fact that the Appeal Brief indicates that payment should be by deposit account in the amount of \$500 and the fact that we are now paying by credit card in the amount of \$250. In other words, please do NOT charge the deposit account (except for any underages that may be imposed) and DO charge the credit card in the amount of \$250.

/dr/

David Rodack  
Registration No. 47,034

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,  
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**  
Suite 1750  
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
(770) 933-9500

